Aim: To improve cancer outpatient services by developing and evaluating a model of telephone nurse led care for follow-up of patients on completion of cancer treatment.
Materials: Data was collected through a quasi-experimental design from women pre and post service intervention and evaluated through quality of life (FACT), symptoms (FACT-O), needs for supportive care and satisfaction with service provision (Experience of care questionnaire). Mapping of existing services included an audit of resources used in providing traditional follow up and those 12 months following the change, exploring throughput, waiting times for both new patients and those having completed treatment and the costs of models of care. The study was conducted over 18 months and involved 56 women in the study over a 10 month intervention period.
Results: Following the introduction of structured telephone follow-up women experienced significant improvement in emotional well being ($p = 0.016$) and enhancement in quality of life. These improvements in psychological morbidity were despite increasing physical symptoms. Women's experience of telephone follow-up showed a significant change in perception of the organisation of their care ($p = 0.001$), and personal experience of care ($p < 0.01$) however, there were few overall differences in patient satisfaction.
Conclusion: Findings from the audit indicate that the redesign of follow up processes reduced the number of patients receiving routine follow-up care in the gynaecology oncology outpatient clinic. There were more new patients seen and a change in work practices within the clinic following implementation. Although the cost schedule indicates an overall increase in resource use with the intervention, this must be offset against a requirement to extend clinic time as an alternative way of increasing capacity. Under these circumstances, the intervention would promote savings by reducing clinic overheads. In addition, benefits to the patient are seen through a reduction in travel costs, time etc in coming to clinic and the potential to reduce crisis management culminating in unplanned visits and admissions to hospital.

8182 POSTER

A study to compare patient satisfaction with location of chemotherapy: community hospital versus cancer centre

E. Pace[1], S. Dennison[1], J. Morris[2], S. Rule[1], C. Pritchard[3], A. Barton[4], J. Loving[5]. *[1]Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Directorate of Oncology and Blood Services, Plymouth, United Kingdom; [2]University of Plymouth, Faculty of Health and Social Work, Truro, United Kingdom; [3]Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust, Peninsula Research and Development Support Unit, Truro, United Kingdom; [4]Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry, Peninsula Research and Development Support Unit, Plymouth, United Kingdom; [5]Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Pharmacy Technical Services, Plymouth, United Kingdom*

The Cancer Centre where I work has recently conducted a study of an outreach chemotherapy project at four community hospitals surrounding the Cancer Centre (Dennison et al 2007). My study ran in tandem and considered patient satisfaction and staff views of a chemotherapy outreach service.
The study used a randomised crossover design to compare outcomes between two types of location. One group received their first two cycles of chemotherapy at outreach; the other group received theirs at the cancer centre. The patients then crossed over to receive their next two cycles at outreach or the cancer centre. Patients then chose where they preferred to receive the remaining cycles of their chemotherapy. Patient satisfaction was assessed using the Chemotherapy Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire (CPSQ) (Sitzia and Wood 1999). Questions from the CPSQ were grouped into dimensions of satisfaction: accessibility, anxiety, environment, nursing interpersonal, and nursing technical; additionally there was one question about overall satisfaction. Satisfaction was recorded at three points, at the end of cycles two, four and final chemotherapy. Staff views were investigated using short semi structured interviews. The topics discussed were main problems and advantages, access for patients, workload, safety and compliance.
There was strong evidence that patients were more satisfied with outreach location for ease of access. Patients were more satisfied with outreach location in terms of environment (privacy, waiting and appointment times). There was no difference in global satisfaction with services.
Eight staff were interviewed, their comments were mostly supportive of outreach. Staff thought outreach beneficial for patients for ease of access, less travel costs, less anxiety and more individual care. They considered the service was equally safe, would not affect patient compliance, but it used extra planning.
The study supports the recommendation of the chemotherapy outreach project that a permanent outreach chemotherapy service to community hospitals should be established. Secondly, it recommends continued use of the CPSQ questionnaire. Thirdly, that a qualitative investigation of patients' views of the outreach service is conducted to augment this study.

8183 POSTER

Outline for an interventions study based on an explorative qualitative pilot study of how parents and children experience the treatment with allogeneic stem cell transplantaiton (SCT)

H. Laursen[1], C. Heilmann[2], C. Johansen[3], L. Adamsen[4]. *[1]Rigshospitalet, BMT-unit 4042, Copanhagen, Denmark; [2]Rigshospitalet, BMT-unit 5061, Copanhagen, Denmark; [3]Danish Cancer Society, Psychosocial research, Copanhagen, Denmark; [4]UCSF, Nursing Research, Copanhagen, Denmark*

Background: To examine what kind of difficulties Danish parents and children experiences during the inpa-tient treatment with allogeneic stem cell transplantation.
Materials: Semi-structured qualitative interviews with 6 mothers, 2 fathers and 3 children (age 11−15 years), together they represent the experience from 7 SCT courses. The children form the 7 SCT courses were di-agnosed with 3 SSA, 2 ALL, 2 with immune deficiencies diseases. Time from SCT was 3 months to 3.5 year (average 1 year).
Results: The parents described interaction problems in relation to each other, the child that is treated with SCT, other children in the family and the staff. The main problems are:
- Lack of continuity in relation to the nursing staff, which results in diverse information and conflicts between children, parents and nursing staff. It raises emotions as insecurity, nervousness and uncer-tainty.
- Conflicts between children and parents are related to nursing related tasks e.g. administration of medicine and meeting the child's needs for food and liquid. This may raise feelings of frustration and powerlessness.
- The parents have a feeling of isolation due to spending most of their time with the child in an LAF isolation room during the 5−7 weeks transplant course. The feeling of isolation is shown by a reduc-tion of the parents social life and adult contact, an inappropriate focusing on the child's well being e.g. blood work, the ability to exercise and "legally" to be able to leave the child's room a limited amount of time each day.
- Those parents, who have more than one child, have a feeling of being split between the SCT child's needs and needs of the other children in the family.

Conclusion: In order to improve nursing care for SCT parents and children the following interventions study is outlined during the child's inpatient periode:
- One contact nurse (the same person) that provides psychosocial support (introducing different coping strategies/behavioral therapy, clearify infor-mation, assist in handling and solve conflicts) to the parents and children on a daily bases.
- A physical exercise program for parents (walking outside the hospitale twice a week and cycling once a week)
- An education program for parents (family dynamics, childrens develop-ment and reactions to illness and hospitalisation, conflict solving and sharing experiences with peers).

8184 POSTER

Elderly persons with cancer – a six-month follow-up

B.A. Esbensen. *Herlev University Hospital, Onkologisk afdeling, Herlev, Denmark*

Background: Changes in quality of life (QoL) are important indicators of the impact of a cancer disease. Despite the increasing incidence of cancer with age, little is known about how elderly persons with cancer deal with the impacts of the disease.
Purpose: The purpose of the study was to investigate possible changes in QoL in elderly persons diagnosed with cancer, in relation to age, contact with the health-care system, activities of daily living, hope, social network and support. The investigation points were at time of diagnosis, and again three and six months after the diagnosis. The study also aimed to investigate which of the aforementioned factors predicted deteriorated QoL in elderly persons with cancer from baseline to the six-month investigation.
Materials and Methods: At baseline, the sample consisted of 101 individuals aged (age 65+) recently diagnosed with cancer, but was reduced to 75 by the six-month investigation point. EORTC QLQ C30, Katz ADL, Nowotny's Hope Scale and ISSI were used in structured personal interviews and questionnaires.
Results: Emotional function improved significantly over time, and com-plaints of nausea and vomiting decreased. Contact with a district nurse at baseline predicted deteriorated QoL from baseline to six months later. Support from grandchildren increased significantly. About 30% of the total sample deteriorated in QoL, by the significant $\geqslant 10$ units, from baseline to six-month follow-up, while about 70% remained stable in QoL from baseline. The majority of the elderly persons with cancer showed an ability to adjust to the new condition.
Conclusions: In clinical practice, specific attention should be paid to the most vulnerable groups of elderly persons with cancer: those with advanced

disease and decreased hope, and those with increased need of both informal and formal assistance.

8185 POSTER

Early stopping of 2 clinical trials for futility: an exploratory study of patients' reactions

J. Bryce[1], M. Connola[2], M.G. Chiofalo[2], C. Caraco'[2], K. Monaco[1], M. Piccirillo[1], A. Morabito[1], A. Salzano De Luna[2], F. Perrone[1], N. Mozzillo[2]. *[1]National Cancer Institute, Clinical Trials Unit, Napoli, Italy; [2]National Cancer Institute, Surgical Oncology, Napoli, Italy*

Background: Early stopping of clinical trials (CT) for efficacy or futility after interim analysis has been recently discussed in the literature. However, information for the clinician on support of and communication with the patient (pt) who abruptly halts trial therapy is lacking, and pt point of view has not been explored. The purpose of this study was to examine pt reactions to early futility stopping of 2 CTs.
Methods: The study was conducted with patients at NCI Naples enrolled in 2 international phase III adjuvant therapy trials for stage IV and stage III melanoma when the trials were interrupted at interim analysis for unlikelihood of demonstrating significant survival benefit for experimental therapy vs. placebo. A collaborative inquiry model was used allowing mutual exploration/understanding with pts while permitting the clinical research nurse (CRN) to provide support/education to patients transitioning to a new phase of care. Patients were notified in person of study closure by CRN and Investigator. CRNs conducted semistructured patient interviews twice, 6−10 weeks and 14−18 weeks after study closure to determine: patients' reactions to study closure, pt positive/negative experiences of CT participation, pt informational and emotional needs and further treatment plan, and to disclose treatment assignment.
Results: Mean time on study (random-closure) for interviewed stage IV pts (n. 16) was 28.8 months (range 4−72), Stage III pts (n. 60) was 33.7 months (range 11−72). Prominent emerging themes related to early stopping were: fear of doing nothing against the disease and of being abandoned by the health care team, both with diminishing impact at second follow-up; shock and denial at treatment cessation transforming to anger and bargaining; futility of participation in the CT transforming to satisfaction with results and/or altruism. Positive experiences reported were CRN-pt relationship, perceived facilitated access to health care team, enrolled pt cohort serving as an informal support group. Negative experiences reported were lack of treatment alternatives at study closure. Identified pt needs included reassurance and continued contact with CT team, information on alternative therapies, impact of trial therapy on future options, written communication about study closure.
Conclusion: Patients who abruptly end CT therapy require information and support in the transition to the next phase of care and CRN follow up provides the basis this support.

8186 POSTER

A randomised crossover study of a nurse-led chemotherapy outreach project

E. Pace[1], S. Dennison[1], J. Morris[2], S. Rule[1], C. Pritchard[3], A. Barton[4], J. Loving[5]. *[1]Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Directorate of Oncology and Blood Services, Plymouth, United Kingdom; [2]University of Plymouth, Faculty of Health and Social Work, Truro, United Kingdom; [3]Royal Cornwall Hospitals NHS Trust, Peninsula Research and Development Unit, Truro, United Kingdom; [4]Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry, Peninsula Research and Development Unit, Plymouth, United Kingdom; [5]Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Pharmacy Technical Services, Plymouth, United Kingdom*

There are several reports regarding chemotherapy given in patients' homes. However, no studies have considered chemotherapy given at community hospitals. The aim of this study was to compare the outcomes of chemotherapy delivered at a cancer centre with the outcomes of chemotherapy given at four community hospitals (outreach). The services were compared in terms of patient safety, preference for location, quality of life and cost-effectiveness. Satisfaction and the perceived acceptability of the service from the staff perspective were assessed in a sub study (Pace et al 2007).
The study used a randomised crossover design to compare outcomes between two types of location. One group received their first two cycles of chemotherapy at outreach; the other group received theirs at the cancer centre. The patients then crossed over to receive their next two cycles at outreach or the cancer centre. Patients then chose where they preferred to receive their remaining cycles of chemotherapy. Side-effects were assessed using the Chemotherapy Symptom Assessment Scale (Brown et al 2001). Anxiety and depression were assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (Zigmund and Snaith 1983). Economic analysis used a health state utility instrument EQ5D (Euroqol Group 1990) as well as patient and provider cost data.
42 patients were randomised, 35 female and 7 male. The majority 78% had breast cancer, there various other cancers including pancreatic, prostate and melanoma. A broad range of daycase chemotherapies were given, the majority (76%) of patients received an anthracycline based regime.
31 patients reached the end of the crossover period. There was an overwhelming preference for outreach chemotherapy, 97% chose the outreach location for the remainder of their treatments. There were no differences between groups regarding chemotherapy side-effects or levels of anxiety and depression. There were no adverse reactions during chemotherapy at any location. No outreach patients had to be referred back to the Cancer Centre immediately following treatment. There was no incidence of extravasation of chemotherapy. This study suggests that there are additional costs to providing the outreach service over current arrangements but that these are associated with a modest improvement in health state as measured by EQ5D and its associated "utility".
The recommendation of this study is that a permanent outreach chemotherapy service to community hospitals is established.